

H-atom parameters not refined

$$w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$$

$$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.00$$

Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962, Vol. III)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )

$$U_{\text{eq}} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i \cdot a_j$$

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{eq}}$
Hg	0.25000	0.18949 (5)	0.00000	0.0417
Cl	0.2374 (1)	0.3981 (2)	0.2493 (2)	0.0476
C1	0.3757 (5)	0.1674 (8)	0.046 (1)	0.0443
N1	0.4435 (4)	0.155 (1)	0.0699 (9)	0.0588
N2	0.3824 (4)	0.3005 (8)	0.492 (1)	0.0571
C2	0.3828 (6)	0.143 (1)	0.501 (1)	0.0674
C3	0.4381 (6)	0.089 (1)	0.640 (1)	0.0629

Table 2. Geometric parameters ( $\text{\AA}$ ,  $^\circ$ )

HgCl <sub>4</sub> (CN) <sub>2</sub> octahedron			
Hg—Cl	2.901 (2)	Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>i</sup>	92.64 (5)
Hg—Cl <sup>i</sup>	3.432 (2)	Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>ii</sup>	97.69 (6)
Hg—Cl	2.064 (9)	Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>iii</sup>	167.65 (5)
Hg—N1	3.176 (7)	Cl <sup>i</sup> —Hg—Cl <sup>iii</sup>	77.99 (5)
		Cl—Hg—Cl	93.3 (2)
		Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>i</sup>	78.5 (2)
		Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>iii</sup>	92.7 (2)
		Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>ii</sup>	94.1 (2)
		Cl—Hg—Cl <sup>ii</sup>	168.8 (3)
Hg(CN)			
Cl—N1	1.11 (1)	Hg—Cl—N1	179.6 (5)
C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub> NH <sub>3</sub>			
C2—N2	1.45 (1)	N2—C2—C3	111.5 (8)
C2—C3	1.52 (1)		
Hydrogen bridges			
H1...N2	0.92 (1)	H2...Cl <sup>iv</sup>	2.442 (2)
H1...Cl	2.233 (2)	H3...N2	0.92 (1)
H2...N2	0.92 (1)	H3...N1 <sup>v</sup>	2.078 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $2_1[4\bar{1}y\bar{4}]$ ; (ii)  $2[4y0]$ ; (iii)  $A[0\frac{1}{2}\bar{1}]$ ; (iv)  $2[\frac{1}{2}y\bar{4}]$ ; (v)  $\bar{1}[\frac{1}{2}\bar{1}\bar{4}]$ .

The six standard reflections first showed a small increase then a small decrease in intensity indicating changing extinction behaviour. The positions of Hg and Cl atoms were determined by direct methods; a subsequent difference Fourier map revealed all N and C atoms. All H atoms could be found in correct positions on a difference Fourier map. Refinement, however, resulted in slightly deformed arrangements. Thus for the final refinement, H atoms were set at calculated positions and kept fixed. All computations were performed on a PC/386 with an adapted version of the program system *ATARI CRYSTAN88* (Burzlaff & Rothammel, 1989).

The authors wish to thank the DAAD for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71120 (8 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: SH1015]

## References

- Ahmed, F. R., Hall, S. H. & Huber, C. P. (1970). Editors. *Crystallographic Computing*, p. 292. Copenhagen: Munksgaard.
- Ben Salah, A., Daoud, A., Bats, J. W. & Fuess, H. (1986). *J. Solid State Chem.* **65**, 151–155.
- Ben Salah, A., Daoud, A., Constant, G., Jaud, J. & Galy, J. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **C39**, 63–66.
- Birgeneau, R. J., Shirane, G. & Kitchens, T. A. (1974). *J. Low Temp. Phys.* **14**, 373–385.
- Burzlaff, H. & Rothammel, W. (1989). *Proceedings of the CIC Meeting, Tübingen*, pp. 415–421. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Dupas, C. & Renard, J. P. (1973). *Phys. Lett. A*, **43**, 119–120.
- Grdenic, D. (1965). *Q. Rev. Chem. Soc.* **19**, 303–328.
- Hoppe, R., Wilhelm, V. & Müller, B. (1972). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **392**, 1–9.
- Mashiyama, A. (1980). *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **49**, 2270–2277.
- Sandström, M. (1978). Thesis, Univ. of Stockholm, Sweden.
- Subramanian, V. & Seff, K. (1980). *Acta Cryst.* **B36**, 2132–2135.

*Acta Cryst.* (1993). **C49**, 1598–1602

## A Monodentate 1,3-Diphenyl-2-triazeno Terpyridineplatinum(II) Complex

JAMES A. BAILEY, VINCENT J. CATALANO AND HARRY B. GRAY

*Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering† and The Beckman Institute, Mail Code 139-74, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA*

(Received 30 September 1992; accepted 1 March 1993)

## Abstract


The compound (1,3-diphenyl-2-triazeno)(2,2',2''-terpyridine)platinum(II) perchlorate dimethylformamide solvate (1) is formed by reaction of dpt (dptH = 1,3-diphenyltriazene) and [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl (tpy = terpyridine) in the presence of excess base (NEt<sub>3</sub>). There are two independent cations in the asymmetric unit. The platinum centers have a monodentate triazeno ligand with the remaining coordination sites occupied by the terpyridine group. The crystal packing is dominated by  $\pi$ – $\pi$  stacking interactions, and metal–metal interactions are conspicuously absent.

## Comment

Both metal–metal (Smith, Miskowski, Mason & Gray, 1990; Rice, Miskowski & Gray, 1987; Stiegman, Rice, Gray & Miskowski, 1987; Rice & Gray, 1983) and ligand( $\pi$ )–ligand( $\pi$ ) (Miskowski &

† Contribution No. 8734.

Houling, 1991) interactions can strongly influence the spectroscopic properties of ( $\alpha$ -diimine)platinum complexes. Our recent work in this area has centered on the triazenido ligand because it is well known to form bridged complexes with relatively short metal-metal distances (Moore & Robinson, 1986). Mononuclear monodentate triazenido complexes are relatively rare, and the limited examples occur mostly with the platinum-group metals (Bombieri, Immirzi & Toniolo, 1976; Brown & Ibers, 1976*a,b*). We have prepared a mononuclear complex [Pt(tpy)-(dpt)](ClO<sub>4</sub>) (1) (dptH = 1,3-diphenyltriazene, tpy = terpyridine) with a monodentate dpt ligand; this complex is deep green and displays dichroic behavior. The crystal and molecular structure of (1) is described herein.



Cation of (1)

Compound (1) was prepared by reaction of [Pt(tpy)Cl]Cl·H<sub>2</sub>O in aqueous acetone with one half equivalent of dpt and excess Et<sub>3</sub>N. Although the stoichiometry should have promoted binuclear complex formation, the triazeno-bridged species was not detected. Small deep-red tabular blocks were grown by slow diffusion of ether into a DMF (dimethylformamide) solution. There are two independent cations in the structure, which are shown in Fig. 1 with atom labels; Fig. 2 shows the packing arrangement aligned to show the aromatic ring stacking. The restricted bite angle of the tridentate terpyridine ligand has caused a small distortion at each Pt atom from a square-planar geometry; this distortion is commonly observed in terpyridine complexes (Dewan, Lippard & Bauer, 1980; Ratilla, Scott, Moxness & Kostic, 1990; Wong & Lippard, 1977; Jennette, Gill, Sadownik & Lippard, 1976; Bailey & Gray, 1992; Bailey, Miskowski & Gray, 1993). As a consequence, the *cis* N—Pt—N angles within the four metallocyclic rings are less than 90° (between 80.1 and 80.7°). The Pt(1)—N(2) and the Pt(2)—N(8) lengths are relatively short [1.941 (10) and 1.943 (9) Å]; this also is a result of the geometrical constraints imposed by the tpy ligand.

The remaining bond lengths and angles in the cations are unremarkable; however, extensive inter-




Fig. 1. A drawing of the cations (50% probability ellipsoids for anisotropic atoms) showing the numbering system. H atoms are not shown.




Fig. 2. A drawing showing stacking interactions between cations. Pt and N atoms are shown as 50% probability ellipsoids; C atoms as 10%; H atoms are not shown.

molecular interactions between cations occur *via* stacking of the  $\pi$  systems of both the terpyridine and triazeno ligands. The interaction involves aromatic rings from four neighboring molecules (see Fig. 2); two tpy ligands [one from a Pt(1) and one from a Pt(2) fragment] form the interior of a short stack and have a closest contact of under 3.4 Å [C(12)⋯N(8) 3.387 (16), C(14)⋯N(7) 3.316 (15) Å]. This distance is well within the value (3.8 Å) normally associated with a significant  $\pi$ — $\pi$  interaction (Hunter & Sanders, 1990). The stack is completed on each side by a phenyl group from a triazeno ligand. The Pt(1) side is capped by an  $\alpha$ -phenyl group with closest contacts of just over 3.4 Å [C(46)⋯N(3) 3.489 (15), C(47)⋯C(11) 3.454 (18) Å], while the Pt(2) side has a  $\gamma$ -phenyl at around 3.4 Å [C(52)⋯C(34) 3.348 (19), C(49)⋯C(31) 3.416 (18) Å]. These two phenyl groups are both from Pt(2) fragments. Each set of four aromatic systems is linked to the

next through a Pt(2) fragment to form a helical network throughout the crystal. Although Pt<sup>II</sup> compounds are known to aggregate into oligomeric chains supported by metal-metal interactions only, the platinum-platinum distances far exceed those that are close enough for appreciable bonding. The closest approach is between Pt(1) and Pt(2) [6.913 (6) Å], and all other Pt...Pt distances are greater than 8 Å. The stacking in this crystal structure exclusively involves the aromatic  $\pi$  systems.

## Experimental

### Crystal data

{[Pt(C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>3</sub> )(C <sub>12</sub> H <sub>10</sub> N <sub>3</sub> )]- (ClO <sub>4</sub> ).C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO} <sub>2</sub>	$D_x = 1.77 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1594.30$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
$P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 24 reflections
$a = 15.610 (4) \text{ Å}$	$\theta = 6.35\text{--}12.42^\circ$
$b = 14.809 (5) \text{ Å}$	$\mu = 4.830 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 25.918 (9) \text{ Å}$	$T = 130 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 92.34 (2)^\circ$	Rods
$V = 5986 (3) \text{ Å}^3$	Red-brown
$Z = 4$	Crystal source: DMF/ether

### Data collection

Nicolet R3m/V diffractometer	6961 observed reflections
$\omega$ scans	$[F > 4\sigma(F)]$
Absorption correction:	$\theta_{\max} = 25^\circ$
refined from $\Delta F$	$h = -18 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\min} = 0.806$ , $T_{\max} = 0.833$	$k = 0 \rightarrow 17$
10552 measured reflections	$l = 0 \rightarrow 30$
10552 independent reflections	2 standard reflections
	monitored every 198 reflections
	intensity variation: $\pm 2\%$

### Refinement

Refinement on $F$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.11$ for C(33);
Final $R = 0.056$	0.02 for all others
$wR = 0.058$	$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.63 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$
$S = 1.09$	$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.19 \text{ e Å}^{-3}$
6961 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
476 parameters	from Cromer (1974);
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F) + 0.0004F^2]$	Cromer & Waber (1974)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal parameters ( $\text{Å}^2$ )

	$U_{eq} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* a_i \cdot a_j$			
	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{eq}$
Pt(1)	0.1212 (1)	0.0487 (1)	0.1994 (1)	0.0023 (1)
Pt(2)	-0.4375 (1)	0.0821 (1)	0.1947 (1)	0.0022 (1)
Cl(1)	0.1322 (2)	0.1770 (2)	0.0275 (1)	0.0034 (1)
Cl(2)	0.6442 (2)	0.1817 (2)	0.0405 (1)	0.0035 (1)
O(1)	0.0472 (7)	0.1915 (8)	0.0079 (5)	0.0079 (5)
O(2)	0.1889 (8)	0.2368 (7)	0.0032 (4)	0.0073 (5)
O(3)	0.1389 (7)	0.1921 (8)	0.0823 (3)	0.0068 (5)
O(4)	0.1556 (7)	0.0860 (6)	0.0173 (4)	0.0061 (4)
O(5)	0.6483 (8)	0.1881 (10)	0.0943 (4)	0.0096 (6)
O(6)	0.6886 (10)	0.2517 (10)	0.0187 (6)	0.0117 (7)
O(7)	0.5567 (7)	0.1778 (9)	0.0212 (4)	0.0091 (6)
O(8)	0.6842 (7)	0.0974 (8)	0.0267 (5)	0.0082 (5)
N(1)	0.0127 (6)	0.0657 (7)	0.1549 (4)	0.0028 (4)
N(2)	0.1451 (6)	-0.0344 (7)	0.1439 (4)	0.0025 (3)
N(3)	0.2393 (6)	0.0057 (7)	0.2235 (3)	0.0025 (3)
N(4)	0.0967 (6)	0.1367 (7)	0.2582 (3)	0.0025 (3)
N(5)	0.0723 (6)	0.1053 (7)	0.3036 (4)	0.0030 (4)
N(6)	0.0758 (7)	0.0195 (8)	0.3080 (4)	0.0035 (4)
N(7)	-0.5249 (6)	0.1686 (7)	0.2221 (3)	0.0026 (3)
N(8)	-0.5261 (7)	0.0700 (6)	0.1403 (3)	0.0026 (3)
N(9)	-0.3795 (6)	-0.0090 (7)	0.1489 (3)	0.0026 (3)
N(10)	-0.3450 (6)	0.0949 (6)	0.2515 (4)	0.0024 (3)
N(11)	-0.3401 (6)	0.0421 (7)	0.2932 (3)	0.0019 (3)
N(12)	-0.4015 (6)	-0.0153 (7)	0.2968 (4)	0.0028 (4)
C(1)	-0.0544 (8)	0.1191 (9)	0.1640 (5)	0.0030 (3)
C(2)	-0.1252 (8)	0.1257 (9)	0.1303 (5)	0.0034 (3)
C(3)	-0.1274 (9)	0.0709 (9)	0.0865 (5)	0.0039 (4)
C(4)	-0.0602 (8)	0.0163 (9)	0.0774 (5)	0.0036 (3)
C(5)	0.0086 (8)	0.0106 (9)	0.1111 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(6)	0.0856 (8)	-0.0450 (9)	0.1050 (4)	0.0025 (3)
C(7)	0.1003 (8)	-0.1071 (9)	0.0668 (5)	0.0032 (3)
C(8)	0.1760 (9)	-0.1519 (10)	0.0679 (5)	0.0042 (4)
C(9)	0.2386 (8)	-0.1382 (9)	0.1068 (5)	0.0031 (3)
C(10)	0.2215 (8)	-0.0792 (9)	0.1456 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(11)	0.2748 (8)	-0.0549 (8)	0.1913 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(12)	0.3572 (8)	-0.0876 (9)	0.2010 (4)	0.0027 (3)
C(13)	0.4050 (8)	-0.0562 (8)	0.2441 (5)	0.0031 (3)
C(14)	0.3668 (8)	0.0048 (8)	0.2775 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(15)	0.2849 (8)	0.0354 (8)	0.2661 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(16)	0.1016 (8)	0.2299 (8)	0.2537 (4)	0.0025 (3)
C(17)	0.0931 (8)	0.2875 (8)	0.2962 (5)	0.0029 (3)
C(18)	0.0967 (9)	0.3806 (10)	0.2895 (5)	0.0040 (4)
C(19)	0.1088 (9)	0.4202 (10)	0.2406 (5)	0.0044 (4)
C(20)	0.1158 (9)	0.3605 (9)	0.1992 (5)	0.0041 (4)
C(21)	0.1128 (8)	0.2688 (9)	0.2056 (5)	0.0033 (3)
C(22)	0.0438 (9)	-0.0098 (9)	0.3552 (5)	0.0035 (3)
C(23)	0.0617 (8)	-0.1009 (9)	0.3700 (5)	0.0035 (3)
C(24)	0.0266 (9)	-0.1342 (10)	0.4140 (5)	0.0042 (4)
C(25)	-0.0280 (10)	-0.0856 (11)	0.4428 (6)	0.0052 (4)
C(26)	-0.0465 (11)	0.0021 (12)	0.4284 (6)	0.0061 (5)
C(27)	-0.0132 (9)	0.0399 (10)	0.3849 (5)	0.0041 (4)
C(28)	-0.5208 (9)	0.2144 (9)	0.2663 (5)	0.0035 (3)
C(29)	-0.5864 (8)	0.2669 (9)	0.2814 (5)	0.0034 (3)
C(30)	-0.6617 (9)	0.2741 (9)	0.2512 (5)	0.0035 (3)
C(31)	-0.6661 (8)	0.2247 (9)	0.2052 (5)	0.0034 (3)
C(32)	-0.5974 (7)	0.1742 (8)	0.1904 (4)	0.0021 (3)
C(33)	-0.5984 (8)	0.1196 (8)	0.1448 (4)	0.0027 (3)
C(34)	-0.6639 (8)	0.1084 (9)	0.1064 (5)	0.0031 (3)
C(35)	-0.6516 (9)	0.0474 (9)	0.0669 (5)	0.0038 (3)
C(36)	-0.5785 (8)	-0.0008 (9)	0.0640 (5)	0.0031 (3)
C(37)	-0.5134 (8)	0.0102 (8)	0.1023 (4)	0.0026 (3)
C(38)	-0.4298 (8)	-0.0341 (9)	0.1075 (5)	0.0031 (3)
C(39)	-0.3999 (8)	-0.0939 (9)	0.0718 (5)	0.0035 (3)
C(40)	-0.3195 (9)	-0.1297 (9)	0.0781 (5)	0.0038 (3)
C(41)	-0.2687 (9)	-0.1072 (9)	0.1202 (5)	0.0039 (4)
C(42)	-0.3007 (8)	-0.0447 (8)	0.1550 (5)	0.0029 (3)
C(43)	-0.2792 (7)	0.1604 (8)	0.2508 (4)	0.0023 (3)
C(44)	-0.2075 (8)	0.1588 (9)	0.2863 (5)	0.0030 (3)
C(45)	-0.1450 (8)	0.2261 (9)	0.2838 (5)	0.0034 (3)
C(46)	-0.1511 (8)	0.2957 (9)	0.2486 (5)	0.0034 (3)
C(47)	-0.2222 (8)	0.2946 (9)	0.2132 (5)	0.0036 (3)
C(48)	-0.2862 (8)	0.2302 (8)	0.2147 (5)	0.0030 (3)
C(49)	-0.3901 (8)	-0.0689 (8)	0.3415 (4)	0.0027 (3)
C(50)	-0.4618 (9)	-0.1125 (9)	0.3593 (5)	0.0037 (3)
C(51)	-0.4582 (10)	-0.1667 (10)	0.4020 (5)	0.0047 (4)
C(52)	-0.3800 (9)	-0.1789 (10)	0.4275 (5)	0.0045 (4)
C(53)	-0.3075 (9)	-0.1388 (9)	0.4112 (5)	0.0037 (3)
C(54)	-0.3115 (8)	-0.0831 (9)	0.3671 (5)	0.0032 (3)
N(13)	0.2612 (9)	0.0416 (10)	0.4298 (5)	0.0064 (4)
O(9)	0.3242 (8)	0.1357 (9)	0.3744 (5)	0.0080 (4)
C(55)	0.2005 (12)	0.0299 (13)	0.4681 (7)	0.0090 (6)
C(56)	0.3022 (12)	-0.0407 (13)	0.4130 (7)	0.0090 (7)
C(57)	0.2701 (12)	0.1216 (13)	0.4082 (7)	0.0071 (5)
N(14)	0.4297 (8)	0.6469 (8)	0.0769 (4)	0.0044 (3)
O(10)	0.4410 (6)	0.7781 (7)	0.1227 (4)	0.0051 (3)
C(58)	0.3393 (9)	0.6373 (11)	0.0852 (6)	0.0056 (4)
C(59)	0.4659 (11)	0.5827 (11)	0.0421 (6)	0.0072 (5)
C(60)	0.4707 (11)	0.7162 (11)	0.0952 (6)	0.0060 (5)

Table 2. *Geometric parameters* (Å, °)

Pt(1)—N(1)	2.025 (10)	C(1)—C(2)	1.383 (17)
Pt(1)—N(2)	1.941 (10)	C(2)—C(3)	1.395 (18)
Pt(1)—N(3)	2.025 (10)	C(3)—C(4)	1.354 (19)
Pt(1)—N(4)	2.052 (10)	C(4)—C(5)	1.359 (17)
Pt(2)—N(7)	2.021 (10)	C(5)—C(6)	1.472 (17)
Pt(2)—N(8)	1.943 (9)	C(6)—C(7)	1.377 (17)
Pt(2)—N(9)	2.034 (10)	C(7)—C(8)	1.356 (19)
Pt(2)—N(10)	2.026 (9)	C(8)—C(9)	1.390 (18)
N(1)—C(1)	1.341 (16)	C(9)—C(10)	1.366 (17)
N(1)—C(5)	1.398 (15)	C(10)—C(11)	1.466 (16)
N(2)—C(6)	1.352 (15)	C(11)—C(12)	1.388 (17)
N(2)—C(10)	1.363 (15)	C(12)—C(13)	1.397 (16)
N(3)—C(11)	1.359 (15)	C(13)—C(14)	1.401 (17)
N(3)—C(15)	1.363 (15)	C(14)—C(15)	1.377 (17)
N(4)—N(5)	1.334 (14)	C(28)—C(29)	1.355 (19)
N(4)—C(16)	1.388 (16)	C(29)—C(30)	1.390 (18)
N(5)—N(6)	1.278 (16)	C(30)—C(31)	1.396 (18)
N(6)—C(22)	1.407 (17)	C(31)—C(32)	1.375 (17)
N(7)—C(28)	1.332 (15)	C(32)—C(33)	1.432 (16)
N(7)—C(32)	1.373 (14)	C(33)—C(34)	1.407 (17)
N(8)—C(33)	1.355 (16)	C(34)—C(35)	1.384 (18)
N(8)—C(37)	1.346 (15)	C(35)—C(36)	1.351 (19)
N(9)—C(38)	1.354 (15)	C(36)—C(37)	1.400 (17)
N(9)—C(42)	1.343 (15)	C(37)—C(38)	1.462 (17)
N(10)—N(11)	1.335 (13)	C(38)—C(39)	1.376 (18)
N(10)—C(43)	1.414 (15)	C(39)—C(40)	1.366 (19)
N(11)—N(12)	1.287 (14)	C(40)—C(41)	1.364 (18)
N(12)—C(49)	1.409 (15)	C(41)—C(42)	1.397 (18)
N(1)—Pt(1)—N(2)	80.7 (4)	Pt(2)—N(10)—C(43)	123.5 (7)
N(1)—Pt(1)—N(3)	160.8 (4)	N(11)—N(10)—C(43)	113.2 (9)
N(2)—Pt(1)—N(3)	80.1 (4)	N(1)—C(1)—C(2)	122.8 (11)
N(1)—Pt(1)—N(4)	99.6 (4)	C(1)—C(2)—C(3)	117.7 (12)
N(2)—Pt(1)—N(4)	179.6 (5)	C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	119.7 (12)
N(3)—Pt(1)—N(4)	99.5 (4)	C(3)—C(4)—C(5)	121.5 (12)
N(7)—Pt(2)—N(8)	80.9 (4)	N(1)—C(5)—C(4)	119.7 (11)
N(7)—Pt(2)—N(9)	161.4 (4)	N(1)—C(5)—C(6)	113.7 (10)
N(8)—Pt(2)—N(9)	80.5 (4)	C(12)—C(13)—C(14)	118.8 (11)
N(7)—Pt(2)—N(10)	99.0 (4)	C(13)—C(14)—C(15)	119.7 (11)
N(8)—Pt(2)—N(10)	180.0 (5)	N(3)—C(15)—C(14)	121.1 (11)
N(9)—Pt(2)—N(10)	99.6 (4)	N(4)—C(16)—C(17)	122.0 (10)
Pt(1)—N(1)—C(1)	128.0 (8)	N(4)—C(16)—C(21)	119.8 (11)
Pt(1)—N(1)—C(5)	113.6 (8)	C(17)—C(16)—C(21)	118.2 (11)
C(1)—N(1)—C(5)	118.4 (10)	N(6)—C(22)—C(23)	116.9 (12)
Pt(1)—N(2)—C(6)	118.6 (8)	N(6)—C(22)—C(27)	124.7 (12)
Pt(1)—N(2)—C(10)	118.7 (7)	N(7)—C(28)—C(29)	122.0 (12)
C(6)—N(2)—C(10)	122.7 (10)	N(7)—C(32)—C(31)	120.0 (10)
Pt(1)—N(3)—C(11)	114.1 (7)	N(7)—C(32)—C(33)	116.1 (10)
Pt(1)—N(3)—C(15)	125.9 (8)	C(31)—C(32)—C(33)	123.7 (10)
C(11)—N(3)—C(15)	119.9 (10)	N(8)—C(33)—C(32)	113.3 (10)
Pt(1)—N(4)—N(5)	120.1 (8)	N(8)—C(33)—C(34)	117.3 (11)
Pt(1)—N(4)—C(16)	123.8 (7)	N(8)—C(37)—C(36)	118.1 (11)
N(5)—N(4)—C(16)	116.1 (10)	N(8)—C(37)—C(38)	112.7 (10)
N(4)—N(5)—N(6)	114.4 (10)	C(36)—C(37)—C(38)	129.2 (11)
N(5)—N(6)—C(22)	111.7 (10)	N(9)—C(38)—C(37)	115.8 (11)
Pt(2)—N(7)—C(28)	128.0 (8)	N(9)—C(38)—C(39)	120.5 (11)
Pt(2)—N(7)—C(32)	112.4 (7)	C(37)—C(38)—C(39)	123.6 (11)
C(28)—N(7)—C(32)	119.5 (10)	C(38)—C(39)—C(40)	120.4 (12)
Pt(2)—N(8)—C(33)	117.3 (7)	C(39)—C(40)—C(41)	120.1 (13)
Pt(2)—N(8)—C(37)	118.0 (8)	C(44)—C(43)—C(48)	119.0 (11)
C(33)—N(8)—C(37)	124.6 (10)	C(43)—C(44)—C(45)	119.5 (11)
Pt(2)—N(9)—C(38)	112.8 (8)	C(44)—C(45)—C(46)	122.2 (12)
Pt(2)—N(9)—C(42)	128.3 (8)	C(45)—C(46)—C(47)	117.0 (12)
C(38)—N(9)—C(42)	118.9 (10)	N(12)—C(49)—C(50)	117.3 (11)
Pt(2)—N(10)—N(11)	123.3 (7)	N(12)—C(49)—C(54)	123.9 (11)

The data were collected using a Nicolet R3m/V diffractometer equipped with a locally modified Enraf-Nonius low-temperature apparatus. One unique data set was collected and used in the refinement. The data were corrected for absorption using XABS (H. Hope & B. Moezzi, Chemistry Department, University of California, Davis, California, USA) a program which provides an empirical correction based on  $F_o$  and  $F_c$  differences. Calculations were performed with *SHELXTL*

(Sheldrick, 1984) installed on a MicroVAX computer. The Pt-atom coordinates were determined from the Patterson map and remaining atoms were located with subsequent cycles of least-squares refinement and calculation of difference Fourier maps. The H-atom positions were calculated using a riding model with a C—H vector fixed at 0.96 Å and a thermal parameter of 0.04 Å<sup>2</sup>. All non-C atoms excluding those of the solvent of crystallization were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters, while all other atoms were refined with isotropic thermal parameters.

The asymmetric unit contains two crystallographically independent cations, two perchlorate anions and two molecules of *N,N*-dimethylformamide. The cations are in close  $\pi$  contact with each other.

We thank Marilyn M. Olmstead for assistance. This work was supported by an NSERC (Canada) postdoctoral fellowship to JAB, a 1991 NSF postdoctoral research fellowship in chemistry (No. CHE-9101931) to VJC, and grants from the National Science Foundation and the Office of Naval Research.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters, H-atom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 71124 (46 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England. [CIF reference: HH1046]

## References

- Bailey, J. A. & Gray, H. B. (1992). *Acta Cryst.* **C48**, 1420–1422.
- Bailey, J. A., Miskowski, V. M. & Gray, H. B. (1993). *Acta Cryst.* **C49**, 793–796.
- Bombieri, G., Immirzi, A. & Toniolo, L. (1976). *Inorg. Chem.* **15**, 2428–2432.
- Brown, L. D. & Ibers, J. A. (1976a). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **98**, 1597–1598.
- Brown, L. D. & Ibers, J. A. (1976b). *Inorg. Chem.* **15**, 2794–2798.
- Cromer, D. T. (1974). *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. IV, pp. 149–151. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Cromer, D. T. & Waber, J. T. (1974). *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*, Vol. IV, pp. 99–101. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. (Present distributor Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.)
- Dewan, J. C., Lippard, S. J. & Bauer, W. R. (1980). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **102**, 858–860.
- Hunter, C. A. & Sanders, J. K. M. (1990). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **112**, 5525–5534.
- Jennette, K. W., Gill, J. T., Sadownik, J. A. & Lippard, S. J. (1976). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **98**, 6159–6168.
- Miskowski, V. M. & Houlding, V. H. (1991). *Inorg. Chem.* **30**, 4446–4452.
- Moore, D. S. & Robinson, S. D. (1986). *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Rad.* **30**, 1–68, and references therein.
- Ratilla, E. M. A., Scott, B. K., Moxness, M. S. & Kostic, N. M. (1990). *Inorg. Chem.* **29**, 918–926.
- Rice, S. F. & Gray, H. B. (1983). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **105**, 4571–4575.
- Rice, S. F., Miskowski, V. M. & Gray, H. B. (1987). *Inorg. Chem.* **27**, 4704–4708.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1984). *SHELXTL User's Manual*. Revision 4.11. Nicolet XRD Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Smith, D. C., Miskowski, V. M., Mason, W. R. & Gray, H. B. (1990). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **112**, 3752–3767.
- Stiegman, A. E., Rice, S. F., Gray, H. B. & Miskowski, V. M. (1987). *Inorg. Chem.* **26**, 1112–1116.
- Wong, Y.-S. & Lippard, S. J. (1977). *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* pp. 824–825.

*Acta Cryst.* (1993). **C49**, 1602–1603

## Chloro(dimethylphenylphosphine)gold(I)

P. D. COOKSON AND E. R. T. TIEKINK

*Department of Chemistry, University of Adelaide,  
Adelaide, SA 5001, Australia*

(Received 7 January 1992; accepted 15 March 1993)

### Abstract

Two molecules of  $[(\text{Me}_2\text{Ph})\text{PAuCl}]$  comprise the asymmetric unit; these differ in the relative orientations of the phosphine-bound substituents. The Au—P bond distances are 2.214 (6) and 2.205 (5) Å for molecules (1) and (2), respectively; the Au—Cl distances are 2.276 (6) and 2.273 (6) Å and the P—Au—Cl angles are 177.2 (3) and 175.4 (2)°, respectively.

### Comment

The geometries found for the independent Au atoms in  $[(\text{Me}_2\text{Ph})\text{PAuCl}]$  are as found in related derivatives. Of interest is the systematic variation of the Au—P bond distances in complexes of general formula  $[\text{R}_3\text{PAuCl}]$ . Whereas the Au—Cl bond distances are equal within experimental error in the series of complexes  $\text{R} = \text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11}$  (Muir, Muir, Pulgar, Jones & Sheldrick, 1985),  $\text{R} = o\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$  (Harker & Tiekink, 1990),  $\text{R} = \text{Ph}$  (Baenziger, Bennett & Soboroff, 1976),  $\text{R} = \text{Et}$  (Tiekink, 1989) and  $\text{R}_3 = \text{Me}_2\text{Ph}$ , the trend in the Au—P bond distances decreases in this order, *i.e.* Au—P 2.242 (4) for  $\text{R} = \text{cyclo-C}_6\text{H}_{11}$ , 2.243 (2) for  $\text{R} = o\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ , 2.235 (3) for  $\text{R} = \text{Ph}$ , 2.232 (9) and 2.231 (8) (two molecules) for  $\text{R} = \text{Et}$ , and 2.214 (6) and 2.205 (5) Å (two molecules) for  $\text{R}_3 = \text{Me}_2\text{Ph}$ ; the relatively high experimental errors notwithstanding. This trend follows that predicted from cone-angle considerations (Tolman, 1977).

The structure is molecular, there being no significant intermolecular contacts except for an Au(1)··Au(2) interaction of 3.262 (2) Å. The two independent molecules differ from each other in the relative orientations of the phosphine-bound substituents, as shown by the torsion angles  $\text{Cl}(n)\text{—Au}(n)\text{—P}(n)\text{—C}(n2), \text{C}(n3), \text{C}(n11)$  of  $-47^\circ, -165^\circ$  and  $72^\circ$  for  $n = 1$ , and  $-178^\circ, 68^\circ$  and  $-56^\circ$  for  $n = 2$ , respectively.




Fig. 1. Molecular structure of  $[(\text{Me}_2\text{Ph})\text{PAuCl}]$  showing the crystallographic numbering scheme; diagram drawn at 30% probability levels (Johnson, 1976).

### Experimental

#### Crystal data

$[\text{AuCl}(\text{C}_8\text{H}_{11}\text{P})]$   
 $M_r = 370.6$   
 Orthorhombic  
 $P2_12_12_1$   
 $a = 12.639$  (4) Å  
 $b = 16.931$  (6) Å  
 $c = 9.458$  (3) Å  
 $V = 2024$  (1) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 8$   
 $D_x = 2.432$  Mg m<sup>-3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å  
 Cell parameters from 25 reflections  
 $\theta = 8.0\text{--}12.8^\circ$   
 $\mu = 148.550$  cm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 295$  K  
 Block  
 $0.27 \times 0.27 \times 0.14$  mm  
 Colourless

#### Data collection

AFC-6R diffractometer  
 $\omega/2\text{--}\theta$  scans  
 Absorption correction:  
 refined from  $\Delta F$  (Walker & Stuart, 1983)  
 $T_{\min} = 0.945$ ,  $T_{\max} = 1.058$   
 3015 measured reflections  
 2143 independent reflections  
 1608 observed reflections  
 $[I > 3.0\sigma(I)]$

$R_{\text{int}} = 4.58$   
 $\theta_{\max} = 25.3^\circ$   
 $h = -1 \rightarrow 15$   
 $k = 0 \rightarrow 18$   
 $l = -11 \rightarrow 0$   
 3 standard reflections  
 monitored every 400 reflections  
 intensity variation:  
 $-2.26\%$

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F$   
 Final  $R = 0.0350$   
 $wR = 0.0390$   
 $S = 2.380$   
 1608 reflections  
 199 parameters  
 H atoms included in calculated positions  
 Weighting scheme based on measured e.s.d.'s

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.0070$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.93$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.98$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1974, Vol. IV)